arXiv:1406.2309v1 [math.SP] 7 Jun 2014

FIXED FREQUENCY EIGENFUNCTION IMMERSIONS AND SUPREMUM NORMS OF RANDOM WAVES

YAIZA CANZANI AND BORIS HANIN

Abstract. A compact Riemannian manifold may be immersed into Euclidean space by using high frequency Laplace eigenfunctions. We study the geometry of the manifold viewed as a metric space endowed with the distance function from the ambient Euclidean space. As an application we give a new proof of a result of Burq-Lebeau and others on upper bounds for the sup-norms of random linear combinations of high frequency eigenfunctions.

0. Introduction

Let (M, g) be an n-dimensional smooth compact Riemannian manifold without boundary and write Δ_g for the (non-negative) Laplace operator acting on $L^2(M, g)$. For each $\lambda \geq 0$ we consider the space

$$\mathcal{M}_\lambda = \bigoplus_{\mu \in (\lambda, \lambda+1]} \ker(\Delta_g - \mu^2). \tag{1}$$

We set $m_\lambda := \dim \mathcal{M}_\lambda$ and fix an orthonormal basis $\{\varphi_{j,\lambda}\}_{j=1}^{m_\lambda}$ of $\mathcal{M}_\lambda$ consisting of eigenfunctions for Δ_g :

$$\Delta_g \varphi_{j,\lambda} = \mu_{j,\lambda}^2 \, \varphi_{j,\lambda}, \qquad \|\varphi_{j,\lambda}\|_{L^2} = 1. \tag{2}$$

The purpose of this note is to prove a simple fact about the geometry of the immersions $\Phi_\lambda : M \to \mathbb{R}^{m_\lambda}$ defined by

$$\Phi_\lambda(x) := \frac{1}{k_\lambda} \left(\varphi_{1,\lambda}(x), \dots, \varphi_{m_\lambda,\lambda}(x)\right) \qquad x \in M, \tag{3}$$

where

$$k_\lambda := \sqrt{2} \, \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{m_\lambda+1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{m_\lambda}{2}\right)}. \tag{4}$$

That Φ_λ are immersions for λ sufficiently large follows from Theorem 2 below. The constants k_λ satisfy $k_\lambda^2 = m_\lambda + o(1)$ and, as we show in §2.1, ensure that

$$\lim_{\lambda \to \infty} \|\Phi_\lambda(x)\|_{l^2(\mathbb{R}^{m_\lambda})} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mathrm{vol}_g(M)}}. \tag{5}$$

Each immersion Φ_λ defines a (pseudo-)distance function $\mathrm{d}_\lambda : M \times M \to \mathbb{R}$ by restricting the ambient Euclidean l^2 distance:

$$\mathrm{d}_\lambda(x, y) := \|\Phi_\lambda(x) - \Phi_\lambda(y)\|_{l^2(\mathbb{R}^{m_\lambda})}. \tag{6}$$

Our main result relates d_λ to the Riemannian distance d_g on M induced by g. Recall that (M, g) is said to be an *aperiodic manifold* if for every $x \in M$, the set of vectors ξ

in T_xM for which the geodesic with initial conditions (x,ξ) returns to x has Liouville measure 0. Any manifold with strictly negative sectional curvatures is aperiodic. On the other extreme, Riemannian manifolds for which the geodesic flow is periodic are called *Zoll manifolds*. Examples of Zoll manifolds are the spheres S^n endowed with the round metric.

Theorem 1. *Let (M,g) be a smooth compact Riemannian manifold without boundary that is either Zoll or aperiodic. There exists a constant C so that for all $x,y \in M$ and all $\lambda \geq 0$*

$$\mathrm{d}_\lambda(x,y) \leq C \cdot \lambda \ \mathrm{d}_g(x,y). \tag{7}$$

Relation (5) shows that the diameter of M with respect to d_λ is bounded so that (7) is a non-trivial statement only when $\mathrm{d}_g(x,y)$ is on the order of λ^{-1}. In this regime, much more can be said about $\mathrm{d}_\lambda(x,y)$. Indeed, on aperiodic manifolds the authors show in [5] that if $\lambda\,\mathrm{d}_\lambda(x,y)$ is bounded as $\lambda \to \infty$, then

$$\mathrm{d}_\lambda(x,y) = \lambda \cdot \frac{J_{\frac{n-2}{2}}(\lambda\,\mathrm{d}_g(x,y))}{(\lambda\,\mathrm{d}_g(x,y))^{\frac{n-2}{2}}} + o(\lambda), \tag{8}$$

where J_ν denotes the Bessel function of the first kind of order ν. The proof of this refined result is significantly more delicate than the proof of Theorem 1.

Note that all the even spherical harmonics take the same values at antipodal points so that d_λ is only a pseudo-distance function in general. In contrast, the results in [5] prove that d_λ is an honest distance function if, for example, (M,g) has negative sectional curvatures. We deduce Theorem 1 from the following estimate of Zelditch, which says that Φ_λ is an almost-isometric immersion for λ sufficiently large. Let us write g_{eucl} for the flat metric on $\mathbb{R}^d$ for any d and introduce the pullback metrics

$$g_\lambda(x) := \Phi_\lambda^*(g_{eucl})(x) = k_\lambda^{-2} \sum_{j=1}^{m_\lambda} d_x\phi_{j,\lambda}(x) \otimes d_y\phi_{j,\lambda}(y)\Big|_{x=y} \tag{9}$$

for any $x \in M$.

Theorem 2 (Zelditch [19])**.** *Let (M,g) be a compact Riemannian manifold that is either Zoll or aperiodic with* $\dim M = n$*. Then, for any $x \in M$,*

$$g_\lambda(x) = \frac{\alpha_n}{(2\pi)^n} \cdot \lambda^2\, g(x)\,(1+o(1)) \tag{10}$$

as $\lambda \to \infty$, where α_n denotes the volume of the unit ball in $\mathbb{R}^n$.

Equation (10) allows us to relate d_g to the distance function d_{g_λ} of g_λ. Theorem 1 then follows by observing that $\mathrm{d}_\lambda \leq \mathrm{d}_{g_\lambda}$ (see §3 for details).

The maps Φ_λ are the Riemannian analogs of Kodaira-type projective embeddings $\Psi_N : M \to \mathbb{P}H^0(L^{\otimes N})^\vee$ of a compact Kähler manifold M into the projectivization of the dual of the space of global sections $H^0(L^{\otimes N})^\vee$ of the N^{th} tensor power of an ample holomorphic line bundle $L \twoheadrightarrow M$. Just as the choice of a Riemannian metric g gives a weighted L^2 space on M, a Hermitian metric h on L induces a weighted L^2 inner product on $H^0(L^{\otimes N})$. This inner product gives rise to a Fubini Study metric ω_{FS}^N on $\mathbb{P}H^0(L^{\otimes N})^\vee$, which plays the role of the Euclidean flat metric g_{eucl} used in the present article.

The holmomorphic analog of Theorem 2 is that the pullback metrics $\Psi_N^* \left(\omega_{FS}^N\right)$ converge in the C^∞ topology to the curvature form of h. This statement is a result of Zelditch in [21], with weaker versions going back to Tian [16]. Theorem 1 in this holomorphic context follows easily from the holomorophic result of Zelditch and was used to study the sup norms of random homomorphic sections by Feng and Zelditch in [7].

0.1. **Application to Sup Norms of Random Waves.** Our main application of Theorem 1 is to find upper bounds on L^∞-norms of random waves on (M, g).

Definition 1. A *Gaussian random wave of frequency* λ on (M, g) is a random function $\phi_\lambda \in \mathcal{M}_\lambda$ defined by

$$\phi_\lambda := \sum_{j=1}^{m_\lambda} a_{j,\lambda}\, \varphi_{j,\lambda},$$

where the $a_{j,\lambda} \sim N(0, k_\lambda^{-2})$ are independent and identically distributed standard real Gaussian random variables and $\{\varphi_{j,\lambda}\}_j$ is an orthonormal basis for $\mathcal{M}_\lambda$ consisting of Laplace eigenfunctions as defined in (2).

The choice of k_λ makes $\mathbb{E}\left[\|\phi_\lambda\|_2\right] = 1$. Gaussian random waves were introduced by Zelditch in [19], and, in addition to their L^p-norms, a number of subsequent articles have studied their zero sets and critical points (cf eg [9, 10, 13] and references thererin).

The statistical features of Gaussian random waves of frequency λ are uniquely determined by their so-called canonical distance, which is precisely d_λ (cf Definition 2). In particular, upper bounds on the expected value of their L^∞-norms are related to the metric entropy of their canonical distance via Dudley's entropy method (see §2.2). We use Theorem 1 to prove in §4 the following result.

Theorem 3. *Let (M, g) be a smooth compact boundaryless Riemannian manifold of dimension n. Assume that (M, g) is either aperiodic or Zoll, and let ϕ_λ be a random wave of frequency λ. Then*

$$\limsup_{\lambda \to +\infty} \frac{\mathbb{E}\left[\|\phi_\lambda\|_\infty\right]}{\sqrt{\log \lambda}} \leq 16 \sqrt{\frac{2n}{\mathrm{vol}_g(M)}}. \tag{11}$$

Remark 1. If (M, g) is aperiodic, the more precise control of the distance function described in (8) yields a slight improvement of Theorem 3 by reducing the constant on the right hand side to $16\sqrt{\frac{n}{\mathrm{vol}_g(M)}}$. We shall indicate how to use (8) to get the improved upper bound in Remark 3.

The upper bounds of order $\sqrt{\log \lambda}$ are not new. Indeed, a simple computation shows that $\mathbb{E}\left[\|\phi_\lambda\|_\infty\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\|\psi_\lambda\|_\infty\right]$ if ψ_λ is chosen uniformly at random from the unit sphere

$$S\mathcal{M}_\lambda := \{f \in \mathcal{M}_\lambda : \ \|f\|_2 = 1\}$$

endowed with the uniform probability measure. For the L^2-normalized random waves ψ_λ, the expectation of the L^∞-norms has been studied on many occasions. On round spheres (S^n, g_{round}), VanderKam obtained in [18] that $\mathbb{E}\left[\|\phi_\lambda\|_\infty\right] = O(\log^2 \lambda)$. Later, Neuheisel in [14] improved the bound to $\mathbb{E}\left[\|\phi_\lambda\|_\infty\right] = O(\sqrt{\log \lambda})$. On general smooth,

compact, boundaryless Riemannian manifolds Burq and Lebeau [4] proved the existence of two positive constants C_1, C_2 so that as $\lambda \to \infty$

$$C_1\sqrt{\log \lambda} \leq \mathbb{E}\left[\|\phi_\lambda\|_\infty\right] \leq C_2\sqrt{\log \lambda}.$$

Although an explicit value for C_2 is not stated in [4], Burq relayed to the authors in a private communication how one may be extracted. Our constant $16\sqrt{2}$ multiplying $(n/\mathrm{vol}_g(M))^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is larger (i.e. worse) than theirs, which is approximately $e^{-e} + 1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2e}}$. The reason for the discrepancy is that Dudley's entropy method makes no assumption on the structure of the probability space on which the Gaussian field in question is defined, while Burq and Lebeau use explicit concentration results on the spheres $S\mathcal{M}_\lambda$. There is a partial converse to Dudley's entropy method, called Sudakov minoration, which allows one to obtain lower bounds on the sup norms of Gaussian fields. Even with the refined control (8) on the canonical distance d_λ from [5], the general lower bounds seem to be on the order of $\lambda^{-1}\sqrt{\log \lambda}$, which are significantly worse than those given by Burq and Lebeau. Finally, we mention that [4] studies more generally upper bounds on L^p norms, $p \in [2, \infty)$, of random waves. Results on L^p norms are also contained in the work of Ayache-Tzvetkov [2] and Tzvetkov [17].

1. Acknowledgements

We would like to thank J. Toth and S. Zelditch for many useful comments on an earlier draft of this article. We would also like to thank N. Burq and S. Eswarathasan for pointing us to the work of N. Burq and G. Lebeau [4], which gives the matching upper and lower bounds for the sup-norms of random waves treated in Theorem 3. We would particularly like to thank N. Burq for explaining how to extract an explicit constant from the proof in [4]. The second author would also like to thank D. Baskin for several helpful conversations regarding the relationship between d_λ and d_{g_λ}.

2. Preliminaries

The proof of Theorem 1 relies on three ingredients: the local Weyl law (§2.1), Dudley's entropy method (§2.2), and Zelditch's almost-isometry result (Theorem 2 in the Introduction). Throughout this section (M, g) denotes a compact Riemannian manifold of dimension n.

2.1. Asymptotics for the Spectral Projector.

For $x, y \in M$, we write

$$E_{(0,\lambda]}(x, y) = \sum_{\lambda_j \in (0,\lambda]} \varphi_j(x)\varphi_j(y)$$

for the Schwartz kernel of the orthogonal projection

$$E_{(0,\lambda]} : L^2(M, g) \twoheadrightarrow \bigoplus_{\mu \in [0,\lambda)} \ker\left(\Delta_g - \mu^2\right).$$

For $\lambda > 0$ we define

$$N(\lambda) := \dim\left[\mathrm{range}\left(E_{(0,\lambda]}\right)\right]$$

to be the number of eigenvalues of Δ_g smaller than λ^2 counted with multiplicity and set

$$\alpha_n := \frac{\omega_n}{(2\pi)^n},$$

where ω_n is the volume of the unit ball in $\mathbb{R}^n$. We also write

$$E_{(\lambda,\lambda+1]}(x,y) = \sum_{\lambda_j \in (\lambda,\lambda+1]} \varphi_j(x)\varphi_j(y)$$

for the kernel of the orthogonal projection onto the span of the eigenfunctions of Δ_g whose eigenvalues lie in $(\lambda^2, (\lambda+1)^2]$. On several occasions we use the following result.

Proposition 1 (Local Weyl Law [6] and [11])**.** *Let (M,g) be a compact Riemannian manifold of dimension n that is either Zoll or aperiodic. Then,*

$$E_{(0,\lambda]}(x,x) = \alpha_n \lambda^n + o(\lambda^{n-1}) \qquad \text{as } \lambda \to \infty$$

and thus

$$E_{(\lambda,\lambda+1]}(x,x) = n\alpha_n \lambda^{n-1} + o(\lambda^{n-1}) \qquad \text{as } \lambda \to \infty, \tag{12}$$

with the implied constants being uniform in λ and $x \in M$.

Integrating the above expressions, one has that on compact aperiodic (or Zoll) manifolds

$$N(\lambda) = \alpha_n \mathrm{vol}_g(M)\lambda^n + o(\lambda^{n-1}) \qquad \text{as } \lambda \to \infty.$$

Continuing to write $m_\lambda = \dim \mathcal{M}_\lambda$, we see that

$$m_\lambda = N(\lambda+1) - N(\lambda) = n\alpha_n \mathrm{vol}_g(M)\lambda^{n-1} + o(\lambda^{n-1}) \qquad \text{as } \lambda \to \infty. \tag{13}$$

We also need Hörmander's off-diagonal pointwise Weyl law:

Lemma 2 ([8])**.** *Let (M,g) be a compact Riemannian manifold of dimension n. Fix $x, y \in M$. Then*

$$E_{(0,\lambda]}(x,y) = O(\lambda^{n-1}). \tag{14}$$

Using the definition (4) of k_λ, equation (13), and the fact that $\Gamma\left(x+\frac{1}{2}\right)/\Gamma(x) = \sqrt{x} + O(1/\sqrt{x})$ as $x \to \infty$, we have from (13) that

$$\begin{aligned} k_\lambda^2 &= m_\lambda + O(\lambda^{-\frac{n-1}{2}}) \\ &= n\,\alpha_n \mathrm{vol}_g(M)\lambda^{n-1} + o(\lambda^{n-1}). \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

Finally, by combining (12) and (15), we see that for all $x \in M$

$$\|\Phi_\lambda(x)\|_{l^2(\mathbb{R}^{m_\lambda})} = \frac{1}{k_\lambda}\sqrt{E_{(\lambda,\lambda+1]}(x,x)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mathrm{vol}_g(M)}} + o(1) \tag{16}$$

as $\lambda \to \infty$, which confirms (5).

2.2. **Dudley's Entropy Method.** Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$ be a complete probability space. A measurable mapping $\phi : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^M$ is called a *random field* on M. If for every finite collection $\{x_j\}_{j=1}^N \in M$ the random vector $\{\phi(x_j)\}_{j=1}^N$ is Gaussian, then ϕ is said to be a Gaussian field on M. In addition, if $\mathbb{E}[\phi(x)] = 0$ for all $x \in M$, then ϕ is said to be centered. The Gaussian random waves of Definition 1 on (M, g) are examples of centered Gaussian random fields on M.

Definition 2. Let ϕ be a centered Gaussian field on M. The *canonical distance* on M induced by ϕ is

$$\mathrm{d}_\phi(x, y) := \left(\mathbb{E}\left[(\phi(x) - \phi(y))^2\right]\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \qquad \text{for } x, y \in M.$$

The law of of any centered Gaussian field is determined completely by its canonical distance function. We note that in general d_ϕ turns M into a pseudo-metric space. Let us define for each $\varepsilon > 0$ the ε-covering number of (M, d_ϕ) as

$$N_{\mathrm{d}_\phi}(\varepsilon) := \inf\left\{\ell \geq 1 \,:\, \exists\, x_1, \ldots, x_\ell \in M \text{ such that } \cup_{j=1}^\ell B_\varepsilon(x_j) = M\right\}, \tag{17}$$

where $B_\varepsilon(x)$ is the ball of radius ε centered at x. Dudley's entropy method says that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{x\in M} \phi(x)\right] \leq 8\sqrt{2} \int_0^{D_\phi} \sqrt{\log N_{\mathrm{d}_\phi}(\varepsilon)}\, d\varepsilon, \tag{18}$$

where $D_\phi = \mathrm{diam}(M, \mathrm{d}_\phi)/2$ (see for example [1, Theorem 1.3.3] for the statement with an unspecified constant and the notes [3] of Bartlett where the constant $8\sqrt{2}$ appears).

We observe that if (M, g) is a smooth compact Riemannian manifold and ϕ_λ is a random wave on M with frequency λ, then it follows from Definition 1 that d_λ and $\mathrm{d}_{\phi_\lambda}$ coincide. Indeed, for $x, y \in M$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{d}_\lambda^2(x, y) &= \|\Phi_\lambda(x) - \Phi_\lambda(y)\|^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{k_\lambda^2}\Big(E_{(\lambda,\lambda+1]}(x, x) + E_{(\lambda,\lambda+1]}(y, y) - 2E_{(\lambda,\lambda+1]}(x, y)\Big) \qquad (19) \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left[(\phi_\lambda(x) - \phi_\lambda(y))^2\right]. \qquad (20) \end{aligned}$$

3. Proof of Theorem 1

The starting point for our proof is the following estimate on $\mathrm{diam}(M, \mathrm{d}_\lambda)$, the diameter of M with respect to d_λ.

Proposition 3. *Let (M, g) be a smooth compact boundaryless Riemannian manifold of dimension n. Assume that (M, g) is either aperiodic or Zoll. There exists $\delta > 0$ so that as $\lambda \to \infty$*

$$\delta \leq \mathrm{diam}(M, \mathrm{d}_\lambda) \leq \frac{2}{\sqrt{\mathrm{vol}_g(M)}} + o(1). \tag{21}$$

Remark 2. The authors' results in a forthcoming paper [5] allow one to prove matching upper and lower bounds in (21) and give the precise asymptotic: $\mathrm{diam}(M, \mathrm{d}_\lambda) = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{\mathrm{vol}_g(M)}} + o(1)$. The corresponding statement for Zoll manifolds is simpler and follows from the fact that $E_{(0,\lambda]}$ has a complete asymptotic expansion (cf [20]).

Proof. For $x, y \in M$ we have

$$\mathrm{d}_\lambda^2(x,y) = \frac{\|\Phi_\lambda(x) - \Phi_\lambda(y)\|^2}{k_\lambda^2}. \tag{22}$$

From (15) and the fact that $\|\Phi_\lambda(x)\|^2 = \Pi_{(\lambda,\lambda+1]}(x,x)$ for all $x \in M$ we conclude

$$\mathrm{d}_\lambda^2(x,y) = \frac{\|\Phi_\lambda(x) - \Phi_\lambda(y)\|^2}{m_\lambda + O(1)} \leq \frac{4}{\mathrm{vol}_g(M)} + o(1).$$

Taking the supremum over $x, y \in M$ proves the upper bound in (21). To prove the lower bound in (21) we proceed by contradiction. That is, suppose that $\mathrm{d}_\lambda^2(x,y)$ is not bounded below. In virtue of (22) and (15), this means that

$$\|\Phi_\lambda(x) - \Phi_\lambda(y)\| = o(\lambda^{n-1}) \tag{23}$$

for all $x, y \in M$. Consider the map $\Psi_\lambda : M \to \mathbb{R}^{N(\lambda)}$ given by

$$\Psi_\lambda(x) = \big(\varphi_1(x), \ldots, \varphi_{N(\lambda)}(x)\big) \qquad \text{for } x \in M,$$

where we continue to write $N(\lambda)$ for the number of eigenvalues of Δ_g in the interval $(0, \lambda^2]$. Note that the difference between Ψ_λ and Φ_λ is that Ψ_λ includes all the eigenfunctions up to eigenvalue λ^2. The local Weyl law (14) shows that for $x, y \in M$ with $x \neq y$,

$$\|\Psi_\lambda(x) - \Psi_\lambda(y)\|^2 = E_{(0,\lambda]}(x,x) + E_{(0,\lambda]}(y,y) - 2E_{(0,\lambda]}(x,y) = \Omega\left(\lambda^n\right). \tag{24}$$

On the other hand, for any positive integer k

$$\|\Psi_k(x) - \Psi_k(y)\|^2 = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \|\Phi_j(x) - \Phi_j(y)\|^2.$$

The assumption (23) shows that

$$\|\Psi_k(x) - \Psi_k(y)\|^2 = o(k^n),$$

which contradicts (24). □

Let us denote by d_{g_λ} the distance function for the metric g_λ defined in (9). We continue to write d_g for the distance function of the background metric g. Combining (21) with (15), we see that Theorem 1 reduces to showing that for any $K > 2/\sqrt{\mathrm{vol}_g(M)}$ there exist positive constants C, λ_0 depending on (M, g) such that $\frac{\mathrm{d}_\lambda(x,y)}{\mathrm{d}_g(x,y)} \leq C\lambda$ for all $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$ and $x, y \in M$ with $\mathrm{d}_g(x,y) \leq K/\lambda$. We write

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}_\lambda(x,y)}{\mathrm{d}_g(x,y)} = \frac{\mathrm{d}_\lambda(x,y)}{\mathrm{d}_{g_\lambda}(x,y)} \cdot \frac{\mathrm{d}_{g_\lambda}(x,y)}{\mathrm{d}_g(x,y)}. \tag{25}$$

Note that $\frac{\mathrm{d}_\lambda(x,y)}{\mathrm{d}_{g_\lambda}(x,y)} \leq 1$. Indeed, let $\gamma : [0,1] \to M$ be any length minimizing geodesic between two given points x and y with respect to the metric $g_\lambda = \Phi_\lambda^*(g_{eucl})$ and consider the curve $\Phi \circ \gamma : [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}^{m_\lambda}$ joining $\Phi_\lambda(x)$ and $\Phi_\lambda(y)$. Then

$$\mathrm{d}_\lambda(x,y) = \|\Phi_\lambda(x) - \Phi_\lambda(y)\|_{g_{eucl}} \leq \int_0^1 \left\|\tfrac{d}{dt}\Phi_\lambda(\gamma(t))\right\|_{g_{eucl}} dt = \mathrm{d}_{g_\lambda}(x,y). \tag{26}$$

We turn to show that there exists $C > 0$ such that $\frac{\mathrm{d}_{g_\lambda}(x,y)}{\mathrm{d}_g(x,y)} \leq C\lambda$. Fix $x, y \in M$ and a unit speed geodesic γ from x to y with respect to the metric g. Theorem 2 states that there exists $C > 0$ so that as $\lambda \to \infty$ one has $g_\lambda = C \cdot \frac{\lambda^{n+1}}{k_\lambda} g\,(1 + o(1))$. Therefore, after suitably adjusting C,

$$\mathrm{d}_{g_\lambda}(x,y) \leq \int_0^{\mathrm{d}_g(x,y)} \left\| \tfrac{d}{dt}\gamma(t) \right\|_{g_\lambda} dt \leq C \sqrt{\frac{\lambda^{n+1}}{k_\lambda}}\, \mathrm{d}_g(x,y). \tag{27}$$

Substituting (26) and (27) into (25), and using the asymptotics (15) for k_λ completes the proof of Theorem 1.

4. Proof of Theorem 3

Let ϕ_λ be a Gaussian random wave of (M, g) with frequency λ. As explained in (20) the distance d_λ induced by the immersions and the distance $\mathrm{d}_{\phi_\lambda}$ induced by ϕ_λ coincide. Theorem 1 therefore allows us to control the metric entropy N_{d_λ} of $\mathrm{d}_{\phi_\lambda}$ (see (17)).

Proposition 4. *Let (M, g) be a compact aperiodic or Zoll Riemannian manifold. There exist positive constants C and λ_0 such that if ϕ_λ is a Gaussian random wave of frequency λ, then*

$$N_{\mathrm{d}_\lambda}(\varepsilon) \leq C\, \frac{\lambda^n}{\varepsilon^n}$$

for all $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$.

Proof. From Theorem 1 it follows that if $x, y \in M$ are such that $\mathrm{d}_g(x,y) \leq \frac{\varepsilon^2}{C\lambda}$ and λ exceeds some fixed λ_0, then $\mathrm{d}_\lambda(x,y) \leq \varepsilon$. Writing $B_d(x,r)$ for a ball of radius r in the distance d, we see that $B_{\mathrm{d}_g}\left(x, \frac{\varepsilon^2}{C\lambda}\right) \subseteq B_{\mathrm{d}_\lambda}(x,\varepsilon)$ for each $x \in M$. Hence,

$$N_{\mathrm{d}_\lambda}(\varepsilon) \leq N_{\mathrm{d}_g}\left(\frac{\varepsilon^2}{C\lambda}\right) \tag{28}$$

for every $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$. Define $\alpha_g := \inf\{k_g(x) : \ x \in M\}$ where k_g denotes the sectional curvature function for (M, g), and set $\pi/\sqrt{\alpha_g} = \infty$ whenever $\alpha_g \leq 0$. For λ large enough

$$\frac{\varepsilon^2}{C\lambda} < \min\left\{\mathrm{inj}_g(M), \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{\alpha_g}}, 2\pi\right\},$$

and so we may apply [12, Lemma 4.1] to obtain

$$N_{\mathrm{d}_g}\left(\frac{\varepsilon^2}{C\lambda}\right) \leq \mathrm{vol}_g(M) \frac{2n}{s_{n-1}} \pi^{n-1} \left(\frac{\varepsilon^2}{C\lambda}\right)^{-n}, \tag{29}$$

where s_{n-1} is the volume of the $(n-1)$-dimensional unit sphere in $\mathbb{R}^n$. The claim follows from combining (28) and (29). □

To complete the proof of Theorem 3, we input the upper bound on N_{d_λ} of Proposition 4 into Dudley's entropy estimate (18) to conclude that for a constant C depending

(M, g) and all λ exceeding some λ_0,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{x\in M}\phi_\lambda(x)\right] &\le 8\sqrt{2n}\int_0^{D_\lambda}\sqrt{\log\left(\frac{C^{1/n}\lambda}{\varepsilon}\right)}\,d\varepsilon \\ &= 8D_\lambda\sqrt{2n}\int_0^1\sqrt{\log\left(\frac{\alpha_\lambda}{\varepsilon}\right)}\,d\varepsilon,\end{aligned}$$

where $D_\lambda = \operatorname{diam}(M, d_\lambda)/2$ and

$$\alpha_\lambda := \frac{C^{1/n}}{D_\lambda}\lambda. \tag{30}$$

Setting $a_\lambda := 1/\log\alpha_\lambda$ we get

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{x\in M}\phi_\lambda(x)\right] \le 8\sqrt{2}D_\lambda\sqrt{n}\,\sqrt{\log\alpha_\lambda}\int_0^1(1-a_\lambda\log\varepsilon)^{1/2}\,d\varepsilon. \tag{31}$$

We now use the estimate

$$\left|\int_0^1(1-a_\lambda\cdot\log\varepsilon)^{1/2}\,d\varepsilon - 1\right| \le \frac{a_\lambda}{2} \qquad \text{as } a_\lambda\to 0, \tag{32}$$

whose proof we give in Claim 5 below. Hence, combining (32) with (31) and the definition (30) of α_λ, we have

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{x\in M}\phi_\lambda(x)\right] \le 8\sqrt{2}D_\lambda\sqrt{n}\sqrt{\log\lambda + \log\left(C^{1/n}/D_\lambda\right)}\left(1+\frac{a_\lambda}{2}\right). \tag{33}$$

Proposition 3 guarantees that $D_\lambda \le \frac{1}{\sqrt{\operatorname{vol}_g(M)}} + o(1)$. Finally, fix $\varepsilon > 0$. We claim that we may choose λ_0 so that for $\lambda \ge \lambda_0$,

$$\frac{1+\log\left(C^{1/n}/D_\lambda\right)}{\log\lambda} \le \left(1+\frac{\varepsilon}{16\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2/3}. \tag{34}$$

Indeed, this could be done if we had

$$\frac{1}{\log\left(\lambda C^{1/n}/D_\lambda\right)} \le \left(1+\frac{\varepsilon}{16\sqrt{2}}\right)^{1/3},$$

and the latter is true since

$$D_\lambda \le \frac{\left(1+\frac{\varepsilon}{16\sqrt{2}}\right)^{1/3}}{\sqrt{\operatorname{vol}_g(M)}}.$$

We then conclude from (33) and (34) that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{x\in M}\phi_\lambda(x)\right] \le \left(8\sqrt{2}+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)\sqrt{\frac{n\log\lambda}{\operatorname{vol}_g(M)}}$$

for all $\lambda \ge \lambda_0$. Since ϕ_λ is symmetric,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\|\phi_\lambda\|_\infty\right] \le 2\,\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{x\in M}\phi_\lambda(x)\right] \le \left(16\sqrt{2}+\varepsilon\right)\sqrt{\frac{n\log\lambda}{\operatorname{vol}_g(M)}} \tag{35}$$

Taking the $\limsup$ as $\lambda\to\infty$ in (35) and then $\varepsilon\to 0$ completes the proof.

Remark 3. The proof of the result stated in Remark 1 for the aperiodic case follows from using the diameter asymptotics in Remark 2 which give $D_\lambda = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\,\mathrm{vol}_g(M)}} + o(1)$ instead of $D_\lambda \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mathrm{vol}_g(M)}} + o(1)$.

Claim 5 (Proof of (32))**.** *As* $a \to 0$,

$$\left| \int_0^1 (1 - a \cdot \log x)^{1/2} \, dx - 1 \right| \leq \frac{a}{2}.$$

Proof. Making the change of variables $u = (1 - a \log x)^{1/2}$ we get

$$\int_0^1 (1 - a \cdot \log x)^{1/2} \, dx = \frac{2}{a} e^{\frac{1}{a}} \int_1^\infty u^2 e^{-\frac{u^2}{a}} \, du. \tag{36}$$

Observe that $-\frac{a}{2u}\frac{\partial}{\partial u}$ preserves $e^{-u^2/a}$ and integrate by parts in (36) to get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 (1 - a \cdot \log x)^{1/2} \, dx &= 1 + e^{\frac{1}{a}} \int_1^\infty e^{-\frac{u^2}{a}} \, du \\ &= 1 + e^{\frac{1}{a}} \sqrt{\frac{a}{2}} \int_{\sqrt{\frac{2}{a}}}^\infty e^{-\frac{t^2}{2}} \, dt \end{aligned}$$

Using the classical estimate

$$\int_x^\infty e^{-\frac{y^2}{2}} \, dy \leq \frac{e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}}}{x}$$

shows that, as $a \to 0$,

$$\left| \int_0^1 (1 - a \cdot \log x)^{1/2} \, dx - 1 \right| \leq \frac{a}{2},$$

as desired. □

References

[1] R. Adler and J. Taylor. Random fields and geometry. *Springer New York*, (2007).

[2] A. Ayache and N. Tzvetkov. L^p properties of Gaussian Random Series. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 360 (2008), 4425-4439.

[3] P. Bartlett. Theoretical statistics, lecture 14. Electronic notes. http://www.stat.berkeley.edu/bartlett/courses/2013spring-stat210b/notes/14notes.pdf .

[4] N. Burq and G. Lebeau. Probabilistic Sobolev embeddings and applications. (Injections de Sobolev probabilistes et applications.) *Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér.* 46 (2013), No. 6, 917-962.

[5] Y. Canzani and B. Hanin. Remainder estimates in the Local Weyl Law and universality of the high frequency Spectral Projector near non-focal points. In preparation.

[6] J. Duistermaat and V. Guillemin The spectrum of positive elliptic operators and periodic bicharacteristics. *Inventiones Mathematicae*, 29 (1975) no. 1, 39–79.

[7] R. Feng. and S. Zelditch Median and mean of the supremum of L^2 normalized random holomorphic fields. *Journal of Functional Analysis.* 266.8 (2014), 5085-5107.

[8] L. Hörmander. The spectral function of an elliptic operator. *Acta mathematica*, 121.1 (1968), 193-218.

[9] M. Krishnapur, P. Kurlberg, and I. Wigman. The spectral function of an elliptic operator. *Ann. of Math.*, 177.2 (2013), 699-737.

[10] F. Oravecz, Z. Rudnick, and I. Wigman. The Leray measure of nodal sets for random eigenfunctions on the torus. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, 58.1 (2008), 299-335.

[11] V. Ivrii. The second term of the spectral asymptotics for a Laplace Beltrami operator on manifolds with boundary. *(Russian) Funksional. Anal. i Prolzhen.* (14) (1980), no. 2, 25-34.
[12] J. Loubes. M. and B. Pelletier. A kernel-based classifier on a Riemannian manifold. *Statistics and Decisions*, 26.1 (2008), 35-51.
[13] L. Nicolaescu Complexity of random smooth functions on compact manifolds. *Indiana U. Math. J* (to appear), preprint available: http://arxiv.org/abs/1201.4972.
[14] J. Neuheisel. The asymptotic distribution of nodal sets on spheres. 2000. *Thesis (Ph.D.) The Johns Hopkins University*.
[15] B. Shiffman. and S. Zelditch. Random polynomials of high degree and Levy concentration of measure. *Asian J. Math.* 7, Special issue dedicated to Yum-Tong Siu, (2003), 627-646.
[16] G. Tian. On a set of polarized Kähler metrics on algebraic manifolds. *J. Diff. Geom.* 32 (2003), 99-130.
[17] N. Tzvetkov. Riemannian analog of a Paley-Zygmun theorem. *Seminare E. D. P.* 15 (2008-2009).
[18] J. VanderKam. L^∞ norms and quantum ergodicity on the sphere. *Internat. Math. Res. Not.*, (7):329–347, 1997.
[19] S. Zelditch. Real and complex zeros of Riemannian random waves. *Contemporary Mathematics* 14 (2009), 321.
[20] S. Zelditch. Fine Structure of Zoll Spectra. *Journal of Functional Analysis* 143.2 (1997), 415 - 460.
[21] S. Zelditch. Szegö Kernels and a Theorem of Tian. *Int. Math Res. Notices* 6 (1998), 317 - 331.

(Y. Canzani) Department of Mathematics, Harvard University, Cambridge, United States.

E-mail address: canzani@math.harvard.edu

(B. Hanin) Department of Mathematics, Northwestern University, Evanston, United States.

E-mail address: bhanin@math.northwestern.edu